

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8468-2017

Date of Decision: 16.03.2017

Paramjit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for the grant of 'regular bail' to the petitioners, in case FIR No. 0033 dated 13.10.2016 registered at Police Station GRP Abohar, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 306/34 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners and their co-accused are that the husband of petitioner no. 1 (father of petitioner no. 2) had lured the deceased to part with Rs. 13,70,000/- on the alleged assurance that he would double the amount and return it to him.

However, only about Rs. 3,35,000/- having been returned and the husband of petitioner no. 1, i.e. Hans Raj, allegedly having refused to return the same, the deceased was stated to have threatened him that he would commit suicide if the money is not returned to him.

Keeping in view the fact that the refusal is stated to have been made by Hans Raj, but without making any comment finally on that matter, which would obviously be considered by the trial Court, I considered it appropriate to admit the petitioners, i.e. Paramjit Kaur and Narinderpal alias Mannu to bail during the pendency of the trial, upon their furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 16, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.