

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8466-2017(O&M)
Date of decision : 16.05.2017**

Himanshu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Namit Sharma , Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 352 dated 17.12.2016, under Sections 363, 366-A of the Indian Penal Code (in short “the IPC”) and 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short “the POCSO Act”), registered at Police Station Sadar Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on completion of investigation, challan was presented in the Court, charge was framed and even the prosecutrix has already been examined but she has failed to support cause of the prosecution. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to face proceedings in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State would concede to the factual assertions made by counsel for the petitioner but oppose the prayer for bail.

In view of the above, without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to the petitioner subject to satisfaction

of the trial Court. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

(i) He shall not offer any threat, promise or inducement to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the same to the Investigating Officer/Court; and

(ii) He shall not leave the limits of this country without prior permission of the Court.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

May 16, 2017
sunita