

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 2926 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.03.2017

Harmesh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sajjan Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioner in person with
Ms. Anupam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent in person with
Mr. D.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the respondent.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present criminal revision, the petitioner has assailed the judgment dated 08.08.2016, vide which, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Patiala, upheld the judgment dated 04.02.2016, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rajpura, whereby, the petitioner was convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act (for short 'the Act') and sentenced to undergo SI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 2000/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergone SI for a period of one month.

The learned counsel for the parties, are *ad idem* that the parties have compromised the matter and the entire payment has

been made.

Respondent Sajjan Singh duly identified by learned counsel for respondent states that the matter has been compromise and he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed.

Heard.

In view of the fact that the parties have compromised the matter, without going into the merits of the case, the present revision is allowed on the basis of the compromise. The judgments of conviction and order of sentence of the appellate court as well as the trial Court are set aside. The petitioner is acquitted of the charge on the basis of compromise. The petitioner is already on bail.

29.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No