

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2922 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.11.2017

Saroj Rano

..... Revisionist

Versus

Navjot Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate, for,
Mr. S.K. Singla, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for revisionist states that revisionist has completed the sentence. Therefore, he does not want to press the revision and withdraws the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No