

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205-2

CRM-M No.8269 of 2017
Date of decision: 15.05.2017

Baljeet Singh and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Manjari Joshi, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0025 dated 17.02.2017, registered under Sections 295, 341, 355, 323, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

On 10.03.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting officer.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioners, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; no specific injury is attributed to them; there are no other criminal case in which the petitioners are involved and that under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioners have not only joined investigation, they have fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh, admits that the petitioners have joined investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigating agency; they are not needed for further questioning by the investigating agency; no injury is attributed to them and that there are no other criminal case in which the petitioners are involved.

Since no specific injury is attributed to the petitioners; there is no other criminal case in which they are involved and that under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioners have not only joined investigation, they have fully cooperated with the investigating agency, I am of the opinion that the present petition deserves to be allowed. Resultantly, the order dated 10.03.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

If during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioners are found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioners through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017
Jyoti 1

<i>(i) Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>(ii) Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>