

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8262-2017  
Date of decision-10.03.2017**

**Narayani @ Neetu @ Neetu Rani  
Vs.  
State of Punjab**

**...Petitioner  
  
...Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.126 dated 13.09.2015 registered under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Sadar, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that ingredients of Section 306 of Indian Penal Code are not attracted in the present case.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

The petitioner has been specifically named in the suicide note left by the deceased, Rajender Kumar. There is recital in the FIR as well as in the suicide note that the deceased was falsely implicated in a complaint at behest of the petitioner, whereas the daughter of the deceased was abused. Therefore, at this stage, it cannot be said that ingredients of Section 306 of IPC are not attracted.

In the circumstances, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

March 10, 2017  
vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |