

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

305

**CRM-M-8232 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:16.08.2017**

RENI MALHOTRA AND ANR.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent.

Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that as the FIR No.0033 dated 24.01.2017, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, has been quashed in CRM-M-20326-2017, this petition for anticipatory bail has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

16.08.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No