

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM23471-2016 in/ and
CRR 2712-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2017

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Prem Raj

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, main revision which stands admitted is taken on board for disposal today itself.

Report has been received from the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad that a demand draft of Rs.70,000/- was handed over to the complainant in Court and was accepted by him. A perusal of the lower Court judgment shows that a cheque of Rs.45,000/- was issued in favour of the complainant, which, on presentation, was dishonoured on account of 'insufficient funds', on account of which, the petitioner was convicted and sentenced by both the Courts below under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Considering that the petitioner has paid much more than the cheque amount, sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment for one year and

compensation of Rs.50,000/- awarded to the petitioner is hereby reduced to the period already undergone by him. Since, the petitioner is on bail, his bail bonds and surety bonds are hereby discharged.

Revision is dismissed with above noted modification.

28.09.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No