

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8151-2017

Date of decision: 02.05.2017

Mukesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.230 dated 15.09.2016, under Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 120-B, 34 IPC and Section 4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, registered at Police Station, Baroda, District Sonapat.

The petitioner is in custody since 18.09.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per statement made by the prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C., no allegation of rape has been attributed to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has informed that out of 18 prosecution witnesses, the prosecutrix has been examined before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial may take some time for its conclusion, this Court feels that there is no need to detain the petitioner any longer. Accordingly, this

petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular

bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial
Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonapat.

02.05.2017		(RITU BAHRI)
ajp		JUDGE
	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No