

CRM-M-8149-2017

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.219)

CRM-M-8149-2017

Date of Decision:-March 30, 2017

Jaswinder Singh Dhillon

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to the licence held by the petitioner and with reference to that he has contended that though it is the case of prosecution that 500 grams of de-addiction powder was seized from his possession by the police, the same was de-addiction powder constituting no offence. In my opinion, the licence does not permit the petitioner to retain or store 'Alprazolam' in powder form.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the name has been deliberately quoted by the petitioner as de-addiction power which in fact is 'salt'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would like to address on additional points. However, in my opinion, since the petitioner is unable to show that how he could store 500 grams of powder on the licence shown to me, there is no need to hear him on the other points as prima facie case against him is made out for rejection of this bail application. Hence, I

do not think it is necessary to hear the petitioner on the other points which he wants to raise. I am, therefore, satisfied that the petitioner cannot be released on bail.

Petition for bail is rejected.

March 30, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No