

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8141 of 2017

Date of Decision: 10.03.2017

VISHAL VERMA & ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent No.1 to protect civil rights of the petitioners arising out of the land transaction in respect of immovable property.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners entered into agreement to sell with one Rajinder Singh on 22.02.2005 and thereafter assigned their rights in favour of respondent Nos.3 and 4 by way of entering into subsequent agreement to sell dated 09.03.2005. The sale deed could have been executed in favour of assignees i.e. respondent Nos.3 and 4. The target date was fixed as

05.05.2005. Respondent No.3 and 4 did not turn up to perform their part of obligation. No civil suit for specific performance has been filed till date.

Learned counsel further submitted that in order to pressurize the petitioners in respect of time barred claim, respondent No.2 is harassing the petitioners at the instance of respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this stage, respondent No.1-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana is directed to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioners on 27.02.2017 by passing a speaking order on the subject in accordance with law.

Respondent No.1 will be at liberty to devise his own ways and means to ascertain the truth behind the allegations

without being influenced by any of the observations made by this Court in the preceding paras. .

Petition stands disposed of.

March 10, 2017

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No