

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2670 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.02.2017

Satpal Singh
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Riffi Bala Birla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

In terms of judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 01.12.2015 passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Zira, the petitioner was convicted for commission of offence punishable under Sections 304-A and 279 of IPC and sentenced as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of convict	Under Section	Rigorous imprisonment for a period	Fine (Rupees)	In default of payment of fine
1.	Satpal Singh	304-A IPC	02 years R.I.	Rs.2000/-	To further undergo 01 month R.I.
		279 IPC	06 months R.I.	Rs.1000/-	To further undergo 01 month R.I.

Sentences were, however, directed to run concurrently.

Appeal having been preferred, the Appellate Court in terms of judgment dated 17.05.2016 has maintained the conviction of the petitioner but has reduced the substantive sentence awarded to the petitioner under Section 304-A IPC from 02 years to 01 year. The intervention as regards

quantum of sentence was apparently on account of the fact that a compromise had been entered into between the parties.

The instant revision petition is directed against the judgment dated 17.05.2016 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur.

During the course of hearing today, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already undergone the sentence period and as such she would not be inclined to pursue the instant revision petition.

In the light of statement made by counsel appearing for the petitioner at the Bar, petition is dismissed as not pressed.

17.02.2017

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No