

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CRM-M-8077-2017

Date of Decision: 19.07.2017

Manjinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No.3 dated 12.03.2014 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') registered at Police Station NRI Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, have prayed for quashing of FIR with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana.

During pendency of the trial, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide compromise Annexure P-3, which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The JMIC, Ludhiana vide report dated 27.03.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any

however, none of them is proclaimed offender.

Respondent No.4 is represented by his counsel.

In view of the report of JMIC, Ludhiana and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

In the circumstances, this petition is allowed. FIR No.3 dated 12.03.2014 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station NRI Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

It is further clarified that if there is any offender/absconder, who is co-accused in the present case, the proceedings against him, however, would remain continue, even after quashing of FIR against the present petitioners.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

19.07.2017

Dinesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No