

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Revision 3769 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.03.2017

Parveen

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

The present petition has been directed against judgments dated 12.05.2015 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kharkhoda and dated 14.09.2015 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat whereby accused/respondents No. 2 to 4 have been acquitted of the offence punishable under sections 406, 498-A, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have gone through the judgments passed by the Courts below. The Courts have recorded consistent findings that the prosecution has failed to bring home guilt of the accused for any of the offences charged against them. I do not find any patent illegality in the findings recorded by the Courts below warranting intervention in exercise of the revisional jurisdiction when the case is examined in the light of principles laid down

by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in *Chandrappa v. State of Karnataka, (2007) 4 SCC 415* and *Ganpat v. State of Haryana (2010) 12 SCC 450*.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

20.03.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No