

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -7989 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2017

Pawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mahesh Saxena, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Rajesh Kumari.

Mr. S.N. Pillania, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.007 dated 02.02.2017, registered under Sections 498-A, 354-A, 406 and 323 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Women Jind, District Jind.

On 10.03.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Notice of motion returnable on 02.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail in FIR No.007 dated 02.02.2017, registered under Sections 498-A, 354-A, 406, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Women Jind, District Jind, on furnishing of his bail bonds amounting to RS.10,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. Petitioner

is directed to join the investigation as and when required and fully cooperate with the Investigating/Arresting Officer.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 10.03.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that neither there are any dowry articles nor any bills thereof have been placed on record.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, no recovery of jewellery has so far been effected.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 also opposes the bail petition.

The matter was referred to Lok Adalat of this Court, however, the parties could not reach the settlement. Keeping in view the fact the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 10.03.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No