

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-7976 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 16.05.2017

Amir Khan @ Golu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. S.S. Jattan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.8 dated 05.01.2017. registered under Section 379-A IPC at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is not named in the FIR. Similarly situated co-accused has been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 09.03.2017 passed in CRM M-7318 of 2017. The petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the challan stands already presented, the co-accused has been granted bail vide order dated 09.03.2017, the petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2017, out of eight prosecution witnesses, one has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely concluded that the conclusion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No