

355

Manav Rachna College of Engineering and Anr.

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

..Respondents

Present: Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Mehta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 6.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the dispute in this case related to admissions to B.Tech, Engineering and other courses which were to be made in the academic session 2012-13 and the petitioners had challenged the action of the respondents forcing it to admit the students as per lower merit than what the petitioner-college wanted. However, no interim order was granted and the college made admissions as per lower standard prescribed by the Government and those students have since passed out. Moreover, after that the petitioner-college has become a part of a different University and consequently, the dispute does not arise for the future, and this was in fact recorded in the order dated 31.10.2012.

In the circumstances, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No