

261

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CRM-M No.7954 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 20.11.2017

\*\*\*\*

Gurcharan Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. S.K. Arya, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in D.D.R. bearing No. G.D. No.21, dated 01.11.2016, under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, and subsequently added Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code, in F.I.R. No.57, dated 08.07.2016, under Sections 324/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, and subsequently added Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, District Batala, have prayed for quashing of D.D.R. with all

subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed in the form of Affidavit (Annexure P-1), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, vide report dated 29.08.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and he does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. D.D.R. bearing No. G.D. No.21, dated 01.11.2016, under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, and subsequently added Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code, in F.I.R. No.57, dated 08.07.2016, under Sections 324/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, and subsequently added Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Shri

Hargobindpur, District Batala, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

**20.11.2017**

*Apurva*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No