

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-7952 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 08, 2017**

Heena and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

This petition is for seeking protection of life and liberty of petitioners, who alleged to have married against the wishes of respondents No. 6 to 8, parents and brother of petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer for taking appropriate action in accordance with law on the representation dated 06.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioner No.1 to Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra. It has been stated that both the petitioners are major. The year of birth of petitioner No.1-Heena is 1994 as per copy of Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) and that of petitioner No.2-Khushi Ram is 1991 as per copy of Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2). They got married on 06.03.2017 Photographs of marriage are Annexure P-4.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the validity of marriage of petitioners, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to look into the representation dated 06.03.2017

(Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of this order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

March 08, 2017.
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No