

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7884 of 2017

Date of Decision: 08.03.2017

PRITAM KAUR AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to respondent No.2 for protection of their lives and personal liberties from being invaded at the instance of Gurjant Singh or any other person relating to his complicity in commission of the offence.

Gurjant Singh is the son of petitioner No.1, husband of petitioner No.2 and father of petitioner No.3. He is involved in some criminal activities. Petitioners have alleged that since the year 2007, he has not visited the house, rather he has contracted second marriage.

Petitioners seek direction that they may not be

harassed in relation to the activities of aforesaid Gurjant Singh. Petitioners have also moved a representation to respondent No.2 on 22.02.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and devise his own mechanism to ascertain the truth and also thereafter pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

March 08, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No