

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 115

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-7846 of 2017

Date of Decision : March 08, 2017

Sonia Verma and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of ex-in laws of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents no. 4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panipat but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition

is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat (respondent no.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 08, 2017
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.