

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

255

CRM-M-7751-2017

Date of Decision: 11.12.2017.

Manjit Singh and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.59 dated 15.08.2014 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Raikot, District Ludhiana (R), on the basis of compromise (Annexure-P3).

Vide orders dated 17.08.2017 and 08.11.2017 passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 15.11.2017, for recording their statements qua compromise with a direction to the trial Court/ Illaq Magistrate to furnish a report regarding veracity thereof, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Judicial

Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon and got recorded their statements. Report from

the concerned Magistrate has been received vide covering letter No.829 dated 21.11.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide letter No.2143/EC dated 27.11.2017.

According to the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.59 is quashed qua the petitioners, subject to depositing costs of ₹10,000/- by the petitioners with the Punjab Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh within one week, failing which this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

List on 18.12.2017 for production of receipt regarding deposit of costs.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

11.12.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No