

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

291

CRM-M-7748-2017.

Date of Decision: 11.12.2017.

Anand and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Chirag Kundu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Meenakshi Malik, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.5 dated 07.01.2015 registered under Sections 323, 324, 452, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, on the basis of compromise Annexure-P2.

Vide order dated 23.08.2017 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 05.09.2017, for recording their statements qua compromise with a direction to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to furnish a report regarding veracity thereof, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Judicial

Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak and got recorded their statements. Report from

the concerned Magistrate has been received vide letter No.1482 dated 14.09.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Rohtak vide letter No.4013 dated 18.09.2017.

According to the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. The compromise seems to be genuine and outcome of free consent of the parties.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.5 is quashed qua the petitioners, subject to depositing costs of ₹10,000/- by the petitioners with the Haryana Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh within one week, failing which this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

List on 18.12.2017 for production of receipt regarding deposit of costs.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

11.12.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No