

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-7723 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2017

Amar Nath

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

None for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-12849-2017

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 86 dated 16.3.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 81 of Registration Act, 1908, at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala.

It is contended that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR nor any role has been attributed to him. He is in custody since 10.02.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner; he is in custody since 10.02.2017; the challan stands presented, however the charge has not yet been framed, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.04.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No