

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7703 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.03.2017**

MAQSOOD

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner prays for issuance of direction to respondent No.3 for registration of FIR against respondent No.6 on the premise that a complaint was made to the Director, Agriculture and Farmer, Welfare Department, Sector 21, Panchkula. While taking cognizance over the said complaint the Director, Agriculture and Farmer forwarded the same to respondent No.3 and in due course respondent No.5 recorded the statement of the complainant on 20.12.2016, however till date no FIR has been registered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G.,

Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to adhere to the guidelines as laid down in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1)**. If cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then necessary directions be issued to respondent No.5 to act in accordance with law and in case no, such cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then necessary communication be sent to the petitioner in terms of guidelines of **Lalita Kumari's** case (supra).

March 07, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No