

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

CRM-M No.7695 of 2017
Date of decision: 15.05.2017

Sikander Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Manjari Joshi, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0025 dated 17.02.2017, registered under Sections 295, 341, 355, 323, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

On 07.03.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting officer.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; no injury is attributed to him; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved and that under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation, he has also has fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh, admits that the petitioner has joined investigation and has fully cooperated with the investigating agency; he is not needed for further questioning by the investigating agency; no injury is attributed to him and that there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved.

Since no specific injury is attributed to the petitioner; there is no other criminal case in which he is involved and that under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation, he has fully cooperated with the investigating agency, I am of the opinion that the present petition deserves to be allowed. Resultantly, the order dated 07.03.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

If during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioner through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017
Jyoti 1

<i>(i) Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>(ii) Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>