

208-B

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7665 of 2017

Date of decision: July 04, 2017

Kulwant Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties including
learned counsel for the complainant.

In FIR No.324 dated 13.12.2016, under Sections 406, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 06.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Reading of the FIR shows that there is partnership firm of the parties. The grievance of the complainant was that he was not given share by other persons who indulge in forgery etc. In my opinion, prima-facie, dispute being of civil nature, interim order dated 06.03.2017 can be made absolute.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 06.03.2017

granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute. Liberty reserved in favour of the complainant to take such steps as available in law.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 04, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No