

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-7660 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 28, 2017

Neha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has placed on record a reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagadhri, in which it stated that the petitioners have got their statements recorded that they no longer require any protection from respondents no.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also reiterates the same fact.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, though with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to otherwise ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

March 28, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No