

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.766 of 2017

Date of Decision: 28.02.2017

RAKESH KUMAR

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 13.01.2017, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no overt act has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is the owner of the vehicle and the driver has already been granted regular bail.

Notice of motion for 28.02.2017.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join the

investigation on 18.01.2017 and in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Mukesh Kumar admitted the aforesaid position and submitted that the petitioner is no more required in further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid, order dated 13.01.2017 is made absolute.

28.02.2017

jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No