

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7639 of 2017

Date of Decision: 07.03.2017

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved of inaction on the part of respondents No.2 to 4 in not adhering to the allegations made in the complaints dated 27.01.2016, 14.03.2016, 11.11.2016 and 15.12.2016 in the context of murder of his son Neeraj allegedly at the hands of private respondents.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is to be passed.

At this stage, this petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to look into the nature of allegations made in the aforesaid complaints. If cognizable offence is found to have been committed then respondent No.5 shall proceed in accordance with law. In case, no incriminating material is found to constitute a cognizable offence, then respondent No.5 shall act in accordance with guidelines framed in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

March 07, 2017

jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No