

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7634-2017

Date of decision : 01.05.2017

Gurwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Mehta, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 25.04.2017, learned State counsel has produced in Court today an affidavit of the complainant, to the effect that Rs.2,91,000/- was refunded to him by the University of Wales, Trinity Saint David, London.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this fact having not earlier been disclosed by the complainant, an adverse inference needs to be taken against the complainant.

No comment is made in that regard because the challan has been filed and the evidence with regard to culpability or otherwise of the petitioner would also be obviously gone into by the trial Court.

However, considering the fact that the challan has now been presented and the petitioner is shown to be a permanent resident of District Patiala, that fact not being denied by the ASI present in Court to assist learned State counsel, and seeing the custody period of 9 months, it is

adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No