

241

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3335 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.7.2017

Shri Krishan Kumar

..... Revisionist

Versus

Axis Bank Limited

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jitender Kumar Sehrawat, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. Deepak Jain and Mr. R.K. Bhatia, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This is revision against the judgment dated 6.10.2014, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, affirming the judgment of conviction dated 17.4.2014 and the order of sentence dated 21.4.2014, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hisar, vide which revisionist was convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and to pay a sum of Rs. 2,47,000/- as compensation to the complainant.

It comes out that the complaint was filed by Axis Bank Limited regarding dishonouring of cheque. Today, the learned counsel for respondent-Bank has stated at bar that the entire loan amount has been repaid and now the claim of bank has been satisfied and the bank has no objection, if a lenient view is taken.

In view of the fact that the revisionist has paid the entire cheque amount and the complainant bank does not press the charges, the revision is

CRR No. 3335 of 2014 (O/M)

allowed to the extent that the sentence of revisionist is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

With the abovenoted modification, the revision is partly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No