

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.204**

**CRM-M No.7629 of 2017**  
**Date of decision: 15.05.2017**

Amit Kumar @ Tiku and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana ....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for  
Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.25 dated 08.02.2017, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506/34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 07.03.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting officer.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioners, learned counsel submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioners are involved; even if the allegations in the FIR are taken as a gospel truth which the petitioners do not admit, they have been attributed only simple injuries on non-vital parts in a sudden fight and that under the interim orders of this Court, the

petitioners have joined the investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Lakhwinder Singh, admits that the petitioners have joined investigation, fully cooperated with the investigating agency and are not needed for further questioning. It is further submitted that no other criminal case is pending against them and they have been attributed simple injuries.

Since there is no other criminal case against the petitioners; only simple injuries are attributed to them; on the non-vital parts; in a sudden fight and that under the interim orders of this Court, the petitioners have not only joined investigation, they have fully cooperated with the investigating agency, I am of the opinion that the present petition deserves to be allowed. Resultantly, the order dated 07.03.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

If during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioners are found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioners through this order.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 15, 2017**  
*Jyoti 1*