

245

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2177 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 23, 2017

Sita Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

By the impugned order, there is refusal to summon the additional accused under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.').

Learned counsel for the petitioner read evidence of PW1-Som Nath.

I have gone through the evidence with his assistance. I find that against the proposed accused, there is no legal evidence tendered before the Court in order that the Court would exercise power under Section 319 Cr. P.C.

Disposed of.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

February 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No