

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-7624 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2017

Parmjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 29 dated 10.02.2015, registered under Sections 420, 467, 465, 468 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar.

It is contended that the petitioner was assistant with main accused, Pardeep Pasricha on whose instructions the stamp paper in question was sold to complainant Raghbir Singh. Co-accused, Bhupinder Singh and Pardeep Pasricha have been enlarged on bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 09.11.2015.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the challan stands presented, however, the

charges have not yet been framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the 3rd bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The FIR was registered on 15.02.2015, however, the charges have not yet been framed. The delay in prosecuting the case is one of the favourable circumstance in favour of the petitioner, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.05.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No