

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7598 of 2017
Date of Decision: 06.03.2017**

**MAHLA RAM
Vs**

.....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of necessary directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to decide the representation/complaint dated 18.02.2017 and for taking action against respondent No.4 in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in respect of commission of cognizable offence, a complaint/representation was moved to respondent No.2 on 18.02.2017, but till date no action has been taken.

Allegations are against respondent No.4, who happens to be the son of the petitioner. Civil litigation has already been decided in favour of the petitioner right upto the stage of Regular Second Appeal.

In view of aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner

craves indulgence of the Court, even in the absence of any complaint moved before respondent No.3 under Section 154 Cr.P.C.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this petition is disposed of by obligating respondent No.2 to look into the matter and if any, cognizable offence is found to have been committed by respondent No.4, then respondent No.3 can be suitably directed to act in accordance with law.

March 06, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No