

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

**CWP-12139-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2017**

Ram Dayal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of notice of retiring the petitioner under Rule 3.26 (d) of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-I, part-I read with Rule 9.18 (1)(C) of the Punjab Police Rules as applicable to the State of Haryana. Writ petition is not maintainable for the reasons that it is only a show cause notice and petition is pre-mature. During pendency of this petition on 03.07.2012 the respondents have proceeded to pass order of retiring him compulsorily which is not challenged by means of amendment during the pendency of this petition. Therefore, petition do not survive for consideration. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order of compulsory retirement dated 03.07.2012.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

02.03.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No