

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7530-2017

Date of Decision : 24.03.2017

ROBIN SURI & ANOTHER

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Madan, Advocate for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

In response to the order dated 06.03.2017, learned counsel for the complainant submits that as recorded in the FIR, the complainant had apologized to the petitioners, though the said apology is stated to have been made under threat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand submits that admittedly a scuffle took place between petitioner No.1 and the complainant, who are otherwise stated to be friends, after they had some drinks, but all the other occupants of the car, even as given in the FIR, have stated that there was no chain snatching or pointing of any weapon that took place.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sukh Pal

Singh, does not deny the factual position.

In view of the above, without making any comment further, the order dated 06.03.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute on the same terms & conditions.

The petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 24, 2017

vinay/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No