

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CRM-M No.7455 of 2017

Date of decision: 03.03.2017

Rahul Rehni and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Rohini Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 01.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation

Jyoti Sharma
2017.03.03 15:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document dated 01.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners and

thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 03, 2017

Jyoti 1

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*
- (ii) Whether reportable Yes/No*