

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-7443 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017.

Tarsem Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 81 dated 01.07.2016, registered under Sections 307, 323, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Lopoke, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is a case of version and cross version. In fact, the complainant is the aggressor and the FIR at the behest of the petitioners has been registered under Sections 452 read with Section 149 IPC against the complainant party.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on

instructions, states that cross version registered on the application of the petitioners after investigation has been cancelled. She further states that Palwinder Singh fired a gun shot as a result, one Krishan Singh lost one eye whereas, the petitioner No.1 has caused gun shot injury in the stomach of the brother of complainant Nirmal Singh. Nirmal Singh also received two grievous injuries on head though not attributed to the petitioners which has impaired speech faculty of the injured.

Keeping in view the active role played by the petitioners, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No