

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-7430 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 6th MARCH, 2017

Deepak & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Hitesh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Seen the impugner order. The allegation in the FIR are that the petitioners were seen climbing roof and were not authorized to climb the roof and removed the instruments of the mobile tower namely telecom device one RRH and two jumpers. They were seen in the CCTV footage.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioner No.1 is working as mechanic with the rival company and therefore a false FIR has been lodged against him. In my opinion, for small gain the petitioners, large number of people has put to inconvenience. The petitioners have not understood the consequence of their act by earning a small amount by steeling instruments and selling them in the market. As such the trial Court has rightly rejected the application of the petitioners for anticipatory bail.

In the result, the present petition is dismissed.

6th March, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes* *No*