

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-7406-2017

Date of Decision : July 31, 2017

Vikas Rakha Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?
- ...

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay K. Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

...

LISA GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.20 dated 02.02.2017 under Sections 406/498-A/354/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Gurgaon.

It is submitted that during the pendency of this petition the matter has been amicably resolved by the parties before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court on 31.05.2017. Petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been filed by the petitioner and

respondent No.2. Statements of the parties at first motion have been

recorded and part of the settled amount has been handed over to respondent No.2.

In view of the settlement between the parties, it is submitted that respondent No.2 has no objection if this petition is allowed subject to the petitioner strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Suman, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to interim orders passed by this Court and his custodial interrogation is not required. No recovery is to be effected from him.

There is no allegation that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before the learned trial Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 05.04.2017 is made absolute. Liberty is afforded to respondent No.2 to move appropriate application in case the terms and conditions of the settlement are not adhered to by the petitioner.

31.07.2017
rupi

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No