

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-7341 of 2017
Date of Decision:-07.12.2017**

Lalit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajat Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH J.(Oral)

During the course of arguments, it has been necessitated to call
for the trial Court record.

Adjourned to 22.12.2017.

Meanwhile the records of the trial Court in original be
requisitioned one day prior to the adjourned date and in case arguments are
not heard, be remitted back and recalled again in a similar manner.

At the very outset, Mr. Gautam, learned counsel for the
petitioner has made statement that he does not press the present bail
application, however, with much vehemence prayed that the trial be
expedited as the petitioner remained behind the bars for almost 3 years and

9 months, which is not disputed by learned State counsel, who states that out of 29 prosecution witnesses 17 have been examined.

Thus, in the light of the fact that substantial witnesses still remains to be examined and the petitioner remained in custody for almost 3 years and 9 months, impels this Court to issue directions to the trial Court to expedite the trial and dispose off the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if so necessitated, use coercive methods to complete the trial.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, it is made clear that in case because of certain reasons the trial Court is unable to conclude the trial in the given time, the petitioner vests the right to move this Court afresh on the same very grounds.

December 07, 2017
Vijay Asija

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No