

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-7318 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.03.2017

Manprit Singh @ Manni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 8 dated 05.01.2017, registered under Section 379-A of IPC, at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR. As per FIR, allegedly mobile phone and wallet of the complainant was snatched away and only voter card of the complainant has been shown to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the investigation stands concluded; challan stands presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No