

**CRM-M-7251-2017 and
CRM-M-8049-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:25.04.2017

1. CRM-M-7251-2017

Darshan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-8049-2017

Sarabjeet Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S.Khiva, Advocates and
Mr. J.S.Bhinder, Advocate for
the complainants.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order of mine shall dispose of CRM-M-7251-2017, filed
by petitioner-Darshan Singh and CRM-M-8049-2017, filed by petitioners-

**CRM-M-7251-2017 and
CRM-M-8049-2017**

anticipatory bail in case FIR No.14 dated 15.02.2017, registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainants also appeared through their counsel. They contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the allegations in the FIR, the deed was executed in the year 1983, however, FIR has been registered on 15.02.2017. It is stated at the time of arguments that mutation qua this deed was sanctioned at that time. Perusal of the record shows that case is based on the documentary evidence and litigation between the parties is going on.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 03.03.2017 passed in CRM-M-7251-2017 and dated 09.03.2017 passed in CRM-M-8049-2017 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 03.03.2017 passed in

**CRM-M-7251-2017 and
CRM-M-8049-2017**

CRM-M-7251-2017 and dated 09.03.2017 passed in CRM-M-8049-2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

25.04.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No