

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2947 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2017

AMIT KUMAR @ MUNNA

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The accused-petitioner was convicted under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay a fine of ₹1,000/- vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 05.09.2014 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bhiwani. The appeal against the said judgement of conviction and order of sentence was dismissed on 11.02.2015 passed by the learned Additional Sessions, Judge Bhiwani wherein the sentence of the accused-petitioner was upheld.

Learned State counsel has fairly conceded that the main case before the trial Court, in which the accused-petitioner was declared proclaimed offender, has been decided wherein he has been acquitted.

Custody Certificate dated 16.09.2017 shows that the petitioner has undergone total sentence of 7 months and 14 days including remissions.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case the sentence of the petitioner is hereby ordered to be reduced to the period already undergone by him. Accordingly, the present revision stands dismissed with modification in quantum of sentence indicated hereinabove.

September 18, 2017
Suresh Kumar

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

		✓	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
			✓
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No