

CRM-M-7153-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7153-2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

Manju

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Manju has filed this petition 439 (2) Cr.P.C. for cancellation of regular bail granted to respondent Nos.2 and 3 vide orders dated 05.08.2016 and 17.10.2017, respectively, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, in case FIR No.272 dated 13.06.2016, registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989 (for brevity, 'SC & ST Act')

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC and Section 3 of the SC & ST Act. Vide order dated 05.08.2016, learned Additional Sessions

CRM-M-7153-2017

Judge, Narnaul granted regular bail to respondent No.2-Ravinder @ Marshal by stating that he has been in custody since 29.06.2016. Similarly, vide order dated 17.10.2016, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul granted regular bail to respondent No.3-Asha Devi by stating that she has been in custody since 04.10.2016. At the time of arguments, nothing has been pointed out that the petitioners are tampering with the evidence or threatening any prosecution witness. Nothing has been argued that in any way, the petitioners have misused the concession of regular bail granted by learned Additional Sessions Judge. The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that there is also another case against the private respondents, is no ground for cancellation of regular bail.

In view of the above, I find that no illegality has been committed by learned Additional Sessions Judge while granting regular bail to the private respondents. Therefore, I do not find any ground to cancel the regular bail of the private respondents.

Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

30.05.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No