

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl.Revn. No. 2841 of 2015
Date of decision : 25.05.2017**

Deepak Ahuja **.....Petitioner**

versus

State of Haryana **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana

Mr. J.S. Mehndiratta, Advocate
for the complainant

RITU BAHRI, J.

On joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the main case i.e CRR No. 2841-2015 is taken on board by today itself.

The husband is in revision against the judgment of reversal order dated 28.07.2015, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, convicting him for an offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406, IPC and sentencing him for one year rigorous imprisonment and six months rigorous imprisonment respectively, while setting aside the judgment of acquittal dated 16.08.2014, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak.

The petitioner is stated to be an employee in the Power Utility Company and was in custody since 28.07.2015. This Court, vide order dated 06.10.2015, suspended the sentence of the petitioner, as parties were ready for an amicable settlement. Therein, the interim bail granted to the petitioner

was made absolute vide order dated 07.11.2016.

Learned counsel for the parties at the very outset submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties in a application under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 wherein joint statement of Kavita-complainant and petitioner-Deepak Ahuja was recorded on 10.04.2017, which reads as under:-

“Stated that today, we have arrived at a compromise. The written details will be submitted before Hon'ble Court in next two days. Precisely, Deepak Ahuja will pay Rs.17,50,000/- as complete settlement to Kavita. Parties will take mutual divorce and both the parties will withdraw all the litigation and appeal, pending adjudication in the Courts of Rohtak as well as in the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court or any other Courts. This amounts to settlement qua child as well. Neither of the party will back out from the statement and compromise which will be placed on record otherwise it will amount to contempt of Court.

As the matter stands compromised between the parties, the present revision petition is disposed of and accordingly, judgment dated 28.07.2015, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak is hereby set aside. Petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him. Parties are bound by the terms of the joint statement dated 10.04.2017.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

May 25, 2017
G Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No