

**CRM-M-7096-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7096-2017**

**Date of Decision: 03.03.2017**

Jatinder Singh @ Jatin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner-Jatinder Singh @ Jatin has filed this 2<sup>nd</sup> petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.50 dated 31.05.2016, registered at Police Station Kanwan, Pathankot, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that earlier petition filed by the petitioner for anticipatory bail was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 19.01.2017, passed by this Court. It is written in the order dated 19.01.2017 that after arguing for sometime, when this court was not inclined to grant benefit of anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the instant petition. Now again, second petition has been filed without any change in circumstances.

**CRM-M-7096-2017**

I have gone through the FIR which was recorded on the written application of complainant-Kamaljit Singh. As per the allegations, Jatinder Singh Randhawa, Gurpreet Singh, his father-Rajinder Singh Numberdar and Ravinder Singh @ Ravi took ₹36 lakhs from the complainant in the year 2013 for sending him to America. It is also mentioned in the FIR that Jitender Singh Randhawa took ₹10 lakhs at Delhi and on 01.06.2013, he sent him to Russia where he stayed for three months and after keeping him there for three months, Ravinder Singh @ Ravi demanded more money i.e. ₹15 lakhs from him which were paid by his family members at village Begowal. Then Ravinder Singh @ Ravi sent him to Bleez from Russia and upon reaching Bleez, a donker picked up him from the airport and took him to a hotel and then donker also demanded money. It is stated that the complainant was dropped near the sea side at Chatumal in Mexico and thereafter the police of Mexico arrested him and after keeping him there for 15 days, he was transferred to D.F.Center Mexico where he remained for 45 days.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**03.03.2017**

parveen kumar

**Note:**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**

**JUDGE**

: Yes

: No