

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7048-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.09.2017

RAVINDER KAUR

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH ADVOCATE GENERAL

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Pulkit Goyal, Advocate,
 for Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmit Singh, has stated that all the currency notes were in the denomination of ₹100/- which were not demonetized. Therefore, these are not required to be deposited in the Treasury/Nationalized Bank/Reserve Bank of India.

It being so, earlier order dated 03.03.2017 passed by this Court is modified to the extent that the currency notes need not be deposited in the Treasury/Nationalized Bank/Reserve Bank of India on account of demonetization.

The prayer in the present petition is that an application for release of articles of case property is pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana and the same has not been decided so far.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana to decide the said application for release of articles

of case property of the petitioner, within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 7, 2017
Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes / No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	Yes / ✓ No